

MiTEAM Fidelity Tool: General Instructions

This tool collects information on one identified case utilizing observation, documentation review, and interviews to assess fidelity to the MiTEAM case practice model, including Motivational Interviewing. Michigan's implementation of the Family First Prevention Services Act (FFPSA) requires assessment of Motivational Interviewing. The Motivational Interviewing-related questions in this tool are adapted from the Behavior Change Counseling Index (BECCI). These indicators are being implemented to assess the Motivational Interviewing skills of workers, which is consistent with Michigan's engagement competencies within our case practice model.

All answers must be entered electronically; however, the paper version can be utilized to keep track of collected information.

The Competency Column: Fidelity indicators fall under primary MiTEAM competency categories but often overlap with other competencies. This column assists in identifying MiTEAM competencies and Motivational Interviewing indicators for appropriate reporting purposes, including federal CQI reporting on Motivational Interviewing required as part of implementing Michigan's Title IV-E Prevention Plan.

Demographics							
County/Agency Name: Click or tap here to enter te	xt.	MiSACWIS Case ID:	Click or tap here to enter text.				
Case Type:							
☐ Prevention/Preservation ☐ Child Protect	ive Services	s □ Foster Ca	are				
☐ Licensing ☐ Adoption		☐ Other: Cl	ick or tap here to enter text.				
Worker Name: Click or tap here to enter text.		Worker Title: Click o	or tap here to enter text.				
Individual Completing MiTEAM Fidelity Tool (Check	One):	Period of Review:					
☐ Supervisor Name: Click or tap here to enter to	ext.	Quarter 1 (Jan 1 to Mar 31) Quarter 2 (Apr 1 to Jun 30)					
☐ Second Reviewer Name: Click or tap here to en	iter text.	Quarter 3 (July 1 to Sept 30) \square Quarter 4 (Oct 1 to Dec 31) \square					
Observation							
Setting:	Date Com	•	Individual(s) Present: (Check all that apply)				
☐ Parenting Time	Click or ta	p to enter a date.	☐ Parent/Guardian				
☐ Home Visit			☐ Caregiver				
☐ Interview			☐ Child(ren)/Youth				
☐ Family Team Meeting / Team Decision Making			☐ Service Provider				
☐ Educational			☐ Team Member Click or tap here to enter				
☐ Court			text.				
☐ Other Click or tap here to enter text.			☐ Other Click or tap here to enter text.				



Documenta	tion Review							
Source(s) Re	eviewed:	Date Completed:						
☐ MiSACWI	S	Click or tap to enter a date.						
☐ Case File								
☐ ISP/USP/S	Social Work Contacts							
☐ FTM Doc	uments							
☐ Safety/Se	rvice/Treatment Plan(s)							
☐ Service P	rovider Reports							
☐ Other Clic	ck or tap here to enter text.							
Interview								
Interview A	oproach:	Date Completed:	Individual Int	terviewed	:			
☐ Face to Face	ace in Home	Click or tap to enter a date.	☐ Parent/Gu	☐ Parent/Guardian				
☐ Face to Face	ace in Office		☐ Caregiver	Caregiver Child(ren)/Youth				
☐ Phone Ca	II		☐ Child(ren)					
☐ Other Clic	ck or tap here to enter text.		☐ Other Clic	Other Click or tap here to enter text.				
I.	Observation							
Observation Instructions Observed interaction may include, but is not limited to interviews, home visits, parenting times, family team meetings, etc. Observers will record whether or not specific behaviors, that indicate fidelity to the MiTEAM practice model, were demonstrated by that worker during that specific interaction. HOW TO ANSWER:								
		T	-11 4 4 -					
	0 = Not at all, 1 = Minimally, 2	' = 10 some extent, 3 = A good	aeai, 4 = A g	reat exte	nt			
MiTEAM Competency	Observation Section		0	1	2	3	4	
,			Not at	Minimally	То	Α	А	
			all		some extent	good deal	great extent	



	1.	Have both the supervisor and caseworker completed all Motivational Interviewing Trainings? ☐ Yes ☐ No (If No, proceed to question 13)					
Motivational Interviewing Engagement Assessment	2.	The worker invites the individual to talk about behavior change. Some examples may include: Explicitly asking the individual's permission to talk about their needs and strengths Making it clear the individual is not obligated to make any decisions regarding their behavior	Not at all	1 Minimally	Z To some extent	A good deal	A great extent
		Select N/A <i>only</i> if the client goes straight into the interaction, without ring the worker a chance to invite them to talk about behavior change.					
Motivational Interviewing Engagement Assessment	3.	The worker demonstrates sensitivity to talking about other issues. Some examples may include: Giving the individual choice in what to talk about Jointly setting the meeting's agenda Encouraging the individual to talk about other behaviors or	Not at	1 Minimally	Z To some extent	3 A good deal	A great extent
		issues besides the primary behavior in question					
Motivational Interviewing Engagement Assessment	4.	The worker encourages individual to talk about current behavior or status quo. Some examples may include: □ Encouraging the individual to talk freely about what they both like and/or dislike about their current behavior/situation	O Not at all	1 Minimally	Z To some extent	3 A good deal	4 A great extent
		 Asking open questions Using empathic listening statements Working to gain an understanding of the individual's perspective 					
Motivational Interviewing Engagement Assessment	5.	The worker encourages individual to talk about change. Some examples may include: □ Encouraging the individual to talk freely about what they feel the positive and negative aspects of behavior change would be to them	O Not at all	1 Minimally	Z To some extent	A good deal	A great extent
		 □ Asking open questions □ Using empathic listening statements □ Working to gain an understanding of the individual's perspective 					
Motivational Interviewing Engagement Assessment	6.	The worker asks questions to elicit how individual thinks and feels about the topic. Some examples may include: Using a range of (mainly open-ended) questions Attempting to draw as much information from the individual	Not at	1 Minimally	Z To some extent	3 A good deal	A great extent
		as possible about their thoughts and feelings towards the topic of behavior change					



Motivational Interviewing Engagement Assessment	7. The worker uses empathic listening statements when individual talks about the topic. Some examples may include: \(\subseteq \text{Worker acknowledges and addresses the individual's experience, feelings, and/or nonverbal communication} \(\subseteq \text{Worker uses clarifying statements to demonstrate they understood what the individual has said} \(\subseteq \text{Encouraging the individual to amplify further} \)	Not at all	1 Minimally	Z To some extent	3 A good deal	A great extent
Motivational Interviewing Engagement Assessment	8. The worker uses summaries to bring together what the individual says about the topic. Some examples may include: Summarizing what the individual has said about behavior change at several key points in the discussion Checking their understanding of the individual's perspective	Not at all	1 Minimally	Z To some extent	3 A good deal	A great extent
Motivational Interviewing Engagement Assessment	9. The worker acknowledges challenges about behavior change that the individual faces. Some examples may include: Regularly and explicitly acknowledges the challenges that may be facing the individual Providing affirmation by focusing on the strengths the individual has in the face of these challenges	Not at all	1 Minimally	Z To some extent	3 A good deal	A great extent
Motivational Interviewing Engagement Assessment	10. When the worker provides information, it is sensitive to the individual's concerns and understanding. Some examples may include: ☐ Trying to understand what the individual knows and wants to know ☐ Eliciting their personal reaction to information provided ☐ Select N/A <i>only</i> if there is no information requested or exchanged within the consultation.	O Not at all	1 Minimally	Z To some extent	A good deal	A great extent
Motivational Interviewing Engagement Assessment	The worker actively conveys respect for the individual's choice about behavior change. Some examples may include: □ Openly acknowledging and accepting individual's choice even if this does not fit in the worker's agenda □ Avoiding putting any pressure on the individual to change their behavior	Not at all	1 Minimally	To some extent	A good deal	A great extent
Motivational Interviewing Engagement Assessment	12. The worker and individual exchange ideas about how the individual could change current behavior. Some examples may include: ☐ Actively encouraging the individual to brainstorm a number of strategies that may help them change their behavior ☐ Allowing, or encouraging, the individual to offer the most ideas ☐ Making suggestions in addition to the individual's own ☐ Select N/A <i>only</i> if there is no discussion of targets within the consultation.	Not at all	1 Minimally	Z To some extent	A good deal	4 A great extent



Engagement Assessment Teaming	13. The worker demonstrates efforts to reduce the power differential. Some examples may include: □ Positioning self-using open and inviting body language. □ Empowering individual(s) to share own story. □ Using statements that acknowledge and lessen the power differential. □ Encouraging individual(s) to take ownership of their role. □ Demonstrating respect to honor dignity.	Not at all	1 Minimally	To some extent	A good deal	A great extent
Engagement Teaming Assessment	 14. The worker guides the identification of people who are supportive and helpful. Some examples may include: □ Guiding discussion to identify supports. □ Bringing up people previously mentioned or identified in case history. □ Discussing types and benefits of support. □ Helping explore potential for repairing broken relationships. □ Assessing the skills of team members. 	Not at all	1 Minimally	To some extent	A good deal	A great extent
Teaming Assessment	 15. The worker facilitates collaboration. Some examples may include: □ Inviting and preparing individuals, family and/or others to participate in shared decision making and planning. □ Promoting formal or informal team members to talk to one another about upcoming decisions. □ Assisting formal and/or informal team members to identify ways to support the plan. □ Facilitating brainstorming solutions with the family and/or others. □ Ensuring family and others understand expectations, responsibilities and plans made. 	Not at all	1 Minimally	Z To some extent	3 A good deal	A great extent
Engagement Assessment Mentoring	16. The worker assists with navigating agency systems and processes. Some examples may include: □ Describing agency processes. □ Clearly explaining expectations regarding service referrals. □ Clearly explaining next steps and desired outcomes. □ Assisting individuals navigating policy or practice. □ Assisting with connecting to individuals and resources.	Not at all	1 Minimally	Z To some extent	3 A good deal	A great extent



Engagement Assessment	 17. The worker discusses long term view and/or planning for ongoing success beyond agency involvement. Some examples may include: □ Ensuring individual understands and agrees on the steps, services, and supports required to achieve safe case closure/investigative disposition and beyond agency involvement. □ Focusing on moving family towards ensuring safety, permanency, and wellbeing to achieve and maintain independence from department. □ Verifying individual's understanding of steps needed for case closure. □ Asking individual what steps they will take if similar event occurs in future and who they will contact. 	Not at all	1 Minimally	Z To some extent	A good deal	A great extent
Engagement Assessment Mentoring Teaming Trauma	 18. The worker identifies and utilizes knowledge of trauma and resiliency. Some examples may include: Demonstrating understanding of the impact of the Department/Agency's involvement with the family. Reframing trauma history as what has happened vs. what is wrong with the person. Considering the impact of potentially traumatic events when interacting with individuals, making decisions and/or building plans. Connecting behaviors, emotions, school problems, or relational/attachment difficulties to the impact of traumatic events. When assessing case services, discussing connection to trauma and resilience. 	Not at all	1 Minimally	Z To some extent	3 A good deal □	A great extent
Engagement Assessment Mentoring Teaming Trauma	 19. The worker practices in a trauma-informed way to address and educate on trauma and resiliency. Some examples may include: □ Proactively transferring trauma knowledge to educate individuals from the first interaction to the last. □ Helping to enhance child and family well-being and resilience through: i. Promoting mastery/competency. ii. Promoting their ability to develop and build relationships and connections. iii. Promoting their ability to regulate emotion and behavior. iv. Fostering the development of self-esteem. □ Enhancing the well-being and resilience of those working in the system. 	Not at all	1 Minimally	Z To some extent	3 A good deal	A great extent



Engagement	 20. The worker demonstrates effort to foster a hopeful outlook. Some examples may include: ☐ Identifying & communicating meaningful strengths. ☐ Celebrating progress and success. 	O Not at all	1 Minimally	Z To some extent	3 A good deal	4 A great extent	
	 □ Providing positive feedback. □ Sharing stories/examples of others succeeding. □ Communicating a personal belief in the child/family. 						
Assessment	21. The worker utilizes the interaction to gather assessment information and advance planning. Some examples may include: ☐ Discussing new information/events and if/how plans should be adjusted.	O Not at all	1 Minimally	Z To some extent	3 A good deal	4 A great extent	
	 □ Exploring family history and significant or potentially traumatic events or experiences. □ Connecting behaviors to possible underlying needs. □ Identifying benefits or challenges of previous/current services. □ Reviewing current plans and discussing progress/setbacks. □ Discussing traditions, routines, people, places, and things and how they may be incorporated into plans (i.e., case/safety/service/parenting time plans/etc). 						
Engagement Assessment	22. The worker assists in identifying and strengthening protective factors. Protective factors include:	O Not at	1 Minimally	2	3	4 A	
Trauma	□ Parental resilience.□ Social connections.	all	,	some extent	good deal	great extent	
	☐ Concrete support in times of need.☐ Knowledge of parenting and child development.☐ Social and emotional competence of children.						
Motivational Interviewing	23. Motivational Interviewing Talk Time summary						
	The worker speaks for (approximately): ☐ More than half the time ☐ About half the time ☐ Less than half the time						
II.	Interview						
Interview Instructions In Person or Telephone Interview with "PRIMARY/KEY" person in the family who was present during the interaction in which the worker was observed. This will likely be child(ren)/youth, parents, caregivers and/or foster parent depending on the family and the worker's job responsibilities. This person should be identified at the end of the observation if possible.							
HOW TO AN	ISWER:						
	0 = Not at all, 1 = Minimally, 2 = To some extent, 3 = A good deal,	4 = Ag	reat exte	ent			



Interview Section	0	1	2	3	4
	Not at all	Minimally	To some extent	A good deal	A great extent
24. The individual was able to identify helpful activities of the worker. Some examples may include: ☐ Consistently responsive in communication. ☐ Offered special accommodations and addressed any obstacles	O Not at all	1 Minimally	Z To some extent	3 A good deal	A great extent
to support participation. ☐ Consulted with individuals before decisions were made. ☐ Actively assisted in recognizing, honoring, and strengthening connections. ☐ Assisted in navigating systems.					
25. The individual(s) reported feeling respected by the worker. Some examples may include: ☐ Acknowledged their successes, values, beliefs, and/or traditions. ☐ Understood the family's perspectives.	Not at	1 Minimally	Z To some extent	3 A good deal	A great extent
 ☐ Honored the family's culture. ☐ Interacted using empathy and kindness. ☐ The individual indicated feeling listened to and heard. 					
26. The individual(s) reports being satisfied with resources offered. Some examples may include: ☐ Listening to family to identify needs. ☐ Services offered met the needs identified.	O Not at all	1 Minimally	Z To some extent	3 A good deal	A great extent
 ☐ Listened to family's preference regarding services. ☐ Individual identified benefiting from the services. 					
27. The individual(s) described specific examples where their input was sought and included in decision making. Some examples may include: ☐ Prioritizing needs. ☐ Selection of services and providers.	O Not at	1 Minimally	Z To some extent	3 A good deal	A great extent
 □ Safety of placement considerations. □ Arranging times, locations, and involving key participants for visitation, meetings, home visits, etc. □ Development of safety plans. 					
	24. The individual was able to identify helpful activities of the worker. Some examples may include: Consistently responsive in communication. Offered special accommodations and addressed any obstacles to support participation. Consulted with individuals before decisions were made. Actively assisted in recognizing, honoring, and strengthening connections. Assisted in navigating systems. 25. The individual(s) reported feeling respected by the worker. Some examples may include: Acknowledged their successes, values, beliefs, and/or traditions. Understood the family's perspectives. Honored the family's culture. Interacted using empathy and kindness. The individual indicated feeling listened to and heard. 26. The individual(s) reports being satisfied with resources offered. Some examples may include: Listening to family to identify needs. Services offered met the needs identified. Listened to family's preference regarding services. Individual identified benefiting from the services. 27. The individual(s) described specific examples where their input was sought and included in decision making. Some examples may include: Prioritizing needs. Selection of services and providers. Safety of placement considerations. Arranging times, locations, and involving key participants for visitation, meetings, home visits, etc.	24. The individual was able to identify helpful activities of the worker. Some examples may include: Consistently responsive in communication. Offered special accommodations and addressed any obstacles to support participation. Consulted with individuals before decisions were made. Actively assisted in recognizing, honoring, and strengthening connections. Assisted in navigating systems. 25. The individual(s) reported feeling respected by the worker. Some examples may include: Acknowledged their successes, values, beliefs, and/or traditions. Understood the family's perspectives. Honored the family's culture. Interacted using empathy and kindness. The individual indicated feeling listened to and heard. 26. The individual(s) reports being satisfied with resources offered. Some examples may include: Listening to family to identify needs. Services offered met the needs identified. Listened to family's preference regarding services. Individual identified benefiting from the services. Individual identified benefiting from the services. Selection of services and providers. Safety of placement considerations. Arranging times, locations, and involving key participants for visitation, meetings, home visits, etc.	24. The individual was able to identify helpful activities of the worker. Some examples may include: Consistently responsive in communication. Offered special accommodations and addressed any obstacles to support participation. Consulted with individuals before decisions were made. Actively assisted in recognizing, honoring, and strengthening connections. Assisted in navigating systems. Some examples may include: Acknowledged their successes, values, beliefs, and/or traditions. Understood the family's perspectives. Honored the family's culture. Interacted using empathy and kindness. The individual(s) reports being satisfied with resources offered. Some examples may include: Listening to family to identify needs. Services offered met the needs identified. Listened to family's preference regarding services. Individual identified benefiting from the services. Individual identified benefiting from the services. Prioritizing needs. Safety of placement considerations. Arranging times, locations, and involving key participants for visitation, meetings, home visits, etc. Minimally and included in decision, and involving key participants for visitation, meetings, home visits, etc.	24. The individual was able to identify helpful activities of the worker. Some examples may include: Offered special accommodations and addressed any obstacles to support participation. Consulted with individuals before decisions were made. Actively assisted in recognizing, honoring, and strengthening connections. Assisted in navigating systems. 25. The individual(s) reported feeling respected by the worker. Some examples may include: Acknowledged their successes, values, beliefs, and/or traditions. Understood the family's perspectives. Honored the family's culture. Interacted using empathy and kindness. The individual indicated feeling listened to and heard. 26. The individual(s) reports being satisfied with resources offered. Some examples may include: Listening to family to identify needs. Services offered met the needs identified. Listened to family's preference regarding services. Individual identified benefiting from the services. 27. The individual(s) described specific examples where their input was sought and included in decision making. Some examples may include: Prioritizing needs. Selection of services and providers. Safety of placement considerations. Arranging times, locations, and involving key participants for visitation, meetings, home visits, etc.	24. The individual was able to identify helpful activities of the worker. Some examples may include: Consistently responsive in communication. Offered special accommodations and addressed any obstacles to support participation. Consulted with individuals before decisions were made. Actively assisted in recognizing, honoring, and strengthening connections. Assisted in navigating systems. Some examples may include: Acknowledged their successes, values, beliefs, and/or traditions. Understood the family's perspectives. Honored the family's perspectives. The individual indicated feeling listened to and heard. Interacted using empathy and kindness. The individual(s) reports being satisfied with resources offered. Some examples may include: Listening to family to identify needs. Services offered met the needs identified. Listened to family's perference regarding services. Individual identified benefiting from the services. Individual(s) described specific examples where their input was sought and included in decision making. Some examples may include: Prioritizing needs. Selection of services and providers. Safety of placement considerations, and involving key participants for visitation, meetings, home visits, etc.



Assessment	 28. The individual(s) reports the worker provided guidance in identifying and utilizing knowledge of trauma and resiliency. Some examples may include: Acknowledged the impact of the Department/agency's involvement with the family. Considered the impact of potentially traumatic events when making decisions or building plans. Connected behaviors, emotions, school problems, or relational/attachment difficulties to the impact of traumatic events. When reviewing case services, discussed connection to trauma and resilience. 	Not at all	1 Minimally □	To some extent	3 A good deal	A great extent
Assessment Trauma	29. The individual(s) reports the worker provided guidance in addressing and educating on trauma and resiliency. Some examples may include: Proactively transferred trauma knowledge to educate from the first interaction to the last. Enhanced child and family well-being and resilience through: i. promoting mastery/competency. ii. promoting their ability to develop and build relationships and connections. iii. promoting their ability to regulate emotion and behavior. iv. fostering the development of self-esteem.	Not at all	1 Minimally	Z To some extent	A good deal	A great extent
Teaming Assessment	 30. The individual(s) reports the worker helped them to identify and involve their support people. Some examples may include: Included support people in decision making. Helped to brainstorm possible team members and supports. Discussing types and benefits of support. Discuss exploring potential for repairing broken relationships. Examples of support people include teachers, school community, service providers, immediate family, community members, church/religious members, extended family, fictive kin, friends, athletic support members, stepfamilies, tribal members, etcetera 	Not at all	1 Minimally	Z To some extent	A good deal	A great extent
Engagement	31. The individual reports the worker regularly asked for feedback. Some examples may include: The worker asked if there are ways to better assist the family. The worker asked if the meeting times, visitation location, contact methods are centered around the family's needs. The worker asked if there were any other areas the family wished to focus on. At case closure, the worker asked what worked well and what did not work well to help them improve their work with families in the future.	O Not at all	1 Minimally	Z To some extent	3 A good deal	A great extent



III.	Documentation Review

Documentation Review Instructions

Review all MiSACWIS and hard copy documentation related to the identified family. Types of documentation reviewed may include but is not limited to: ISP/USP, FTM documents, licensing reports, assessments, parenting time plans, safety/service/treatment plans, service provider reports, etc. Documentation review should be limited to the last 90 days.

HOW TO ANSWER:

 $0 = Not \ at \ all, \ 1 = Minimally, \ 2 = To \ some \ extent, \ 3 = A \ good \ deal, \ 4 = A \ great \ extent$ *N/A is an option for the documentation section for non-case load carrying workers*

MiTEAM Competency	Documentation Review Section	0	1	2	3	4
		Not at all	Minimally	To some extent	A good deal	A great extent
Mentoring	32. The worker's documentation accurately reflects what occurred during the observation with the individual: ☐ The social work contact matched the observed interaction ☐ The description captured all important information/details ☐ Select N/A <i>only</i> if the worker is non-case load carrying.	Not at all	1 <i>Minimally</i> □	To some extent	A good deal	A great extent
Engagement	 33. The worker's documentation reflects efforts to utilize and demonstrate Engagement. Some examples may include: The family's suggestions and comments are clearly documented. Contact was maintained with the family and supports between in-person meetings. Plans are created with individuals (not for them) and include items the individual(s) indicate are most helpful. There is evidence the worker tried different means of communicating and connecting with individuals. Documentation indicates the worker used effective ageappropriate techniques to engage children and youth. Documentation indicates the worker followed through on requests and responded to communications timely. Select N/A <i>only</i> if the worker is non-case load carrying. 	Not at all	1 Minimally	Z To some extent	3 A good deal	4 A great extent



Teaming	34. The worker's documentation reflects efforts to utilize and demonstrate Teaming. Some examples may include: ☐ The documentation reflects how individuals' preferences were considered in decision making. ☐ The documentation indicates the worker partnered with other systems, individuals, community partners, supports, etc. ☐ A variety of individuals are identified as responsible for activities within the plan. ☐ Individuals were prepared for their role in meetings, in the process, or in supporting the children and families. ☐ Team regularly reviews and update plans. ☐ Family Team Meetings occurred within required time frames, informal and formal supports were present, and plans developed were shared with all necessary parties. ☐ Select N/A <i>only</i> if the worker is non-case load carrying.	O Not at all	1 Minimally □	Z To some extent	3 A good deal □	A great extent
Assessment	35. The worker's documentation reflects efforts to utilize and demonstrate Assessment. Some examples may include: A thorough assessment of the family's circumstances that includes: i. Assessment of each family member ii. Past and present relationships/family dynamics iii. Family's input regarding services and supports that have been helpful (or not) in the past iv. Family information from past or current service providers v. Impact of trauma on the individual and/or family members vi. Home conditions Formal tools accurately reflect the information collected during assessment and are updated as circumstances change. Child's living arrangement has been fully assessed and determined safe. Current living arrangement supports the permanency plan The case file contained documentation of trauma screening, referral, and assessment.	Not at all	1 Minimally	Z To some extent	3 A good deal □	4 A great extent
Assessment	36. The worker's documentation reflects efforts to utilize and demonstrate Planning and Plan Implementation. Some examples may include: ☐ The plans developed and case decisions made are clearly informed by specific family circumstances identified through assessment. ☐ Plans build resiliency by including strategies that promote building relationships, mastery/competence, regulating emotions/behavior, strengthening self-esteem, and giving individuals voice and choice.	O Not at all	1 Minimally □	To some extent	A good deal	A great extent



	 □ Service referrals included clear and specific needs for the family. □ Safety plan includes proactive and reactive steps and includes members from the team. □ Documentation shows evaluation of the appropriateness of services, effectiveness of services and adjustments being made to plans, as needed. □ There is evidence in the documentation that services were provided in a timely manner. 					
	☐ Select N/A <i>only</i> if the worker is non-case load carrying.					
Mentoring	 37. The worker's documentation reflects efforts to utilize and demonstrate Mentoring. Some examples may include: Documentation shows evidence the worker coached individuals on navigating systems (examples include child welfare processes, court, CMH, parenting time, etcetera). Documentation shows evidence the worker coached individuals on parenting topics, mental health concerns and/or other areas of need. Documentation shows evidence the worker asked for and provided positive and constructive feedback. Plans are written in a behaviorally specific manner. Documentation indicates the worker addressed concerns directly with individuals, such as during supervised parenting time, home visits, etc., at the time they are observed. Select N/A <i>only</i> if the worker is non-case load carrying. 	Not at all	1 Minimally	Z To some extent	A good deal	4 A great extent

IV. Certification

Certification Instructions

Supervisors will consider the following questions to determine if these actions have been accomplished before final submission of the Fidelity Web Application Tool.

By clicking Submit you certify you have done the following:

- Provided specific, concrete, and timely feedback to the worker.
- Discussed and developed next steps based on the findings from this tool.
- Discussed discrepancies found between the observation, documentation, and interview sections.
- Modeled MiTEAM Competencies through this review process.



Comments						
Optional - This section will not be used in statewide roll up and is intended to be used by the Supervisor as desired.						